

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No. 18124 of 2004

Between:

Oduri Satya Vathi, W/o Peddi Raju,
R/o Seesali Village, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari District

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The District Collector, West Godavari Distirct at Eluru
- 2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari District
- 3 The Grampanchayat, Seesali Village, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari

District rep.by its Sarpanch.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ order or direction more one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondent No.2, modifying the list of beneficiaries (Annexures-2) formulated by the 3rd respondent, as illegal and direct the respondent No.2 to implement the original list dated 8-9-2004 as forwarded by the 3rd respondent under the Antyodaya Anna Yojana Scheme for the village Seesali Village of Kalla Mandal, West Godavari District.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.K.V.L.NARASIMHA RAO

Counsel for Respondents 1 & 2: GOVT. PLEADER FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

Counsel for Respondent No.3 : Mr.D.SRINIVAS

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

-

The petitioner claims to be landless poor and holder of white ration card of Seesali village, Kalla mandal, West Godavari district. It is stated that in pursuance of the Antyodaya Anna Yojana Scheme initiated by the Central Government, certain guidelines were issued for implementation of the scheme under Circular dated 12.8.2004. As per the said circular, the second respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer was required to identify the eligible beneficiaries under the scheme. In pursuance thereof, the second respondent instructed the Gram Panchayat of Seesala village to identify the beneficiaries. The Gram Panchayat in turn in the Gram Sabha conducted on 8.9.2004 identified (23) beneficiaries and prepared a list and the same was placed before the second respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer. The petitioner states that her name was also included in the said list of beneficiaries. Now the grievance of the petitioner is that the second respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer has deleted some of the names from the said list including the name of the petitioner without there being any justifiable reason. The petitioner also contends that the procedure followed by the Mandal Revenue Officer in identifying the beneficiaries is contrary to the guidelines issued for implementation of the scheme. Hence this writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned Government Pleader appearing for respondents 1 and 2 and on perusal of the material on record, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition leaving it open to the petitioner to make a representation before the first respondent-District Collector raising all the objections and pointing out the alleged irregularities in preparing the list of beneficiaries. If any such representation is made, the first respondent is directed to consider the same and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of the objections from the petitioner. No costs.

G.ROHINI, J

Date: 26.10.2004

DA

To

- 1 The District Collector, West Godavari District at Eluru.
- 2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari District.
- 3 The Sarpanch, Grampanchayat, Seesali Village, Kalla Mandal, West Godavari District.
- 4 Two CCs to the G.P. for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).
- 5 Two CD copies.